

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,235	12/22/2003	Michael N. Burdenko	8562-AFP/GDM	6984
20349	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
POLAROID CORPORATION			GHATT, DAVE A	
PATENT DE 1265 MAIN S			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WALTHAM, MA 02451			2854	
·			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- '						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
_	10/743,235	BURDENKO, MIC	BURDENKO, MICHAEL N.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(and)			
	Dave A. Ghatt	2854	( Vive			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  v statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 March 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5 and 9-11 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2000  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the company of	$0.3$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413)  S)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 lines 14-15 recites "being operative independently of said other bias *mechanisms*." [Emphasis added.]

Maybe the applicant meant, "being operative independently of said other bias mechanism."

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mori et al. (US 6,682,239). As illustrated in Figures 8 and 9A-9D, Mori et al. teaches the claimed structure. Figure 8 shows a roller assembly, comprising a platen roller 100 including a central axle being exposed at opposite ends (generally indicated at 102) of said platen roller, and a frame 50 for mounting said platen 100 at both exposed axle ends. Figure 8 shows the frame including a main body (portion of frame with head 70), a plurality of fork structures (55, 56) adapted for mounting each exposed axle end. Figures 9A-9D shows and column 9 lines 22-25 teach the

Art Unit: 2854

structure where each fork structure is adapted to constrain a respective axle end from moving away from said frame. Figures 4 and 8 show a plurality of bias mechanisms (83, 84), each bias mechanism being operative independently of the other bias mechanism and each said bias mechanism being cooperatively associated with one of the fork structures and being adapted to push the respective axle end away from the main frame (portion or frame with head 70) and against said fork structure in a direction X1. (See Figure 9D). The applicant should note that the claim language does not require each bias mechanism to be associated with only one fork structure.

With respect to claim 2, and the requirement for each bias member to allow movement of a respective axle end towards the main body of the frame and against the bias mechanism, Figures 9A-9D show the allowance for movement against the bias mechanism and towards the frame.

With respect to claim 3, column 9 lines 20-22, and Figure 8 shows each axle with a bearing (102, 103). Column 9 lines 20-25 teach that each fork structure is adapted to retain the bearings. Figures 9A-9D shows the structure allowing movement of the bearing directly towards the main body of the frame.

With respect to claim 6, the stable locking arrangement illustrated in Figure 8 and outlined in column 9 lines 20-25, is adapted to constrain the bearings from lateral movement with respect to the frame.

With respect to claim 7, Figures 8 and 9A-9D shows each said fork structure having a pair of members extending from said frame and adapted to extend around opposite sides of a said bearing, similar to the structure taught by the applicant.

1. 13

With respect to claim 8, Figures 8 and 9A-9D show each pair of members of said fork structure is separated by a first space having a sufficient size to allow a said bearing to pass axially therethrough.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 is indicated as having allowable subject matter because the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the total combination claimed, wherein said bias mechanism comprises a bearing retainer which is biased away from said main body of said frame by said bias mechanism and adapted to engage said bearing.

## Response to Amendment/Arguments

5. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed March 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Consequently, the examiner has maintained his rejection of claims 1-3 and 6-8. As stated in the rejection statement, Figures 4 and 8 of Mori et al. show a plurality of bias mechanisms (83, 84), each bias mechanism being operative independently of the other bias mechanism and each said bias mechanism being cooperatively associated with one of the fork structures and being adapted to push the respective axle end away from the main frame (portion or frame with head 70) and against said fork structure in a direction X1. (See Figure

Art Unit: 2854

9D). Furthermore, the applicant should note that the claim language does not require each bias mechanism to be associated with *only* one fork structure.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave A. Ghatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-2165. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,235 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAG

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800